

PERMIT WRITING FORM - P2021-0054

Assigned EPS: Devan Korn Reviewed by: /s/JMB Date: 7/6/2021

APPLICANT

Project Sponsor(s): Homestead Development Corp.

Landowner(s): Fawn Ridge, LLC

Authorized Representative: North Woods Engineering, Joseph Garso, P.E.

PROJECT SITE

Town/Village: North Elba County: Essex
Road and/or Water Body: Wesvalley Road

Tax Map #(s): 42.157-1-1 and 42.157-1-2.1 in Hamlet; and 42.10-1-20 and 42.10-1-42 in Moderate

Deed Ref: A deed from Patricia Jones Edgerton as trustee of the Nettie Marie Jones Trust to Fawn
Ridge, LLC, dated June 3, 2006, and recorded June 13, 2006 in the Essex County Clerk's Office at
Book 1492, Page 176.

Land Use Area(s): \(\text{M} + \text{MIU} \) \(\text{LIU} \) \(\text{RM} \) \(\text{IU} \)

Project Site Size: 25.15± acres

\(\text{Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above} \)
\(\text{Only the } \text{H} \) \(\text{MIU} \) \(\text{LIU} \) \(\text{RM} \) \(\text{IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above} \)
\(\text{Other (describe):Lot 43 of Agency Permits 2015-0159 and 2015-0159A} \)

Lawfully Created? \(\text{NY} \) \(\text{N} \) \(\text{If Yes: } \) \(\text{Wild - } \) \(\text{Scenic - } \) \(\text{Recreational} \) \(\text{Name of River: NA} \)

CEAs (include all): \(\text{Wetland - } \) \(\text{Fed Hwy - } \) \(\text{State Hwy - } \) \(\text{State Land - } \) \(\text{Elevation - } \) \(\text{Study River} \)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

An eight-lot subdivision of the 25.15-acre project site to create: one vacant 22.06- acre lot; six 4,800 square-foot building lots for the construction of one, three-bedroom single family dwelling each; and a 2.43-acre common lot for the construction of four multiple-family dwellings. Each multiple family dwelling will include four, two-bedroom units. An access road will be constructed from Wesvalley Road and a motion was made on as described in a Certification of Minutes dated April 13, 2021 indicating that the Town of North Elba intends to accept the access road as a Town road once constructed to the Town's specifications. A homeowners' association will be responsible for parking areas, multiple family dwellings and common space maintenance. On-site parking for 36 vehicles will be provided and the development will be served by municipal sewer, water and electrical infrastructure.

JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

810(1)(b)(1)(b) – Subdivision involving wetlands in MIU;

810(1)(b)(3) – Subdivision in MIU resulting in 75 or more lots, parcels, or sites since May 22, 1973;

810(1)(b)(5) – Construction of a structure in excess of 40 feet in height:

810(2)(a)(2)(b) - Subdivision of MIU resulting in non-shoreline lots less than 0.92 Ac.; and Pursuant to Condition 2 of P2015-0159A.

PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED P87-28, P90-102, P2015-0159 and P2015-0159A

<u>FIND</u>	<u>ings (</u>	OF FACT - ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	
Lake	s, Pon	ds, Navigable Rivers and Streams	Check if none □
Wate	r Body	Name: Outlet Brook (Cold Brook)	
Leng	th of Ex	xisting Shoreline (feet): 1,800	MHWM determ: ⊠Y □N
Minin	num Lo	ot Width: 100	Meets standard:⊠Y
Struc	ture Se	etback (APA Act):50	Meets standard: $\boxtimes Y \square N$
Struc	ture Se	etback (River Regs): NA	Meets standard: □Y ⊠NA
		utting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM?	If Yes, < 30% vegetation? ☐Y ☒NA
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$		•
□Y		Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area	
	· · ·	a causing proposed mains room or mor area	(ii i co, iiiciaac aiiaci jaiicaiciicii)
Non-	Naviga	able Streams in proximity to developmen	t Check if none ⊠
□Ре	rmaner	nt Stream □Intermittent Stream	Classified? □Y □N
DEC	Enviro	nmental Resource Mapper stream classifica	tion: NA
	ands		
⊠Y	$\square N$	Jurisdictional wetland on property	
If Y:		ciated with Outlet Brook and shown on proje	•
		e: forested with needle-leaved evergreen tre	·
→ Pr	•	•	$\square Y \boxtimes N$
	→ If \	Y, value rating: 2	
Wild	lif∆		
□Y	⊠N	Rare/threatened/endangered species	⊠RASS ecologist consulted
_· □Y	⊠N	R/T/E or other unique species habitat	⊠RASS ecologist consulted
□Y	⊠N	Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in	
□Y	⊠N	Forest management plan existing or propo	
□Y	⊠N	Biological Survey required by RASS ecolo	• • •
шт		Biological Survey required by NASS ecolo	gist □ If Yes, completed
Ecol	ogical	/ Special Districts	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Natural Heritage Sites	⊠RASS ecologist consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Aquifer	⊠ RASS engineer consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Agricultural District	·
Slop	es	⊠ RASS engineer consulted	
Exist	ing slop	be range: $0 - 15\%$ Building area(s) if author	izing development: < 15%
_			
Soils		B 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
□Y		Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary	,
		oil data information determined or approved	
NRC	S Mapp	ped Soil Series or Other Comments: Monad	nock fine sandy loam

	acter o		
	• •	ude all): ⊠Residential ⊠Commercial □Industrial □	□Agricultural ⊠Forested
-	•	nd Uses / State Land: Private	
	•	velopment visible from road? Velopment Visible development. Private resident	dential and commercial land uses
		e road and describe visible development: Private resid om Wesvalley Road	dential and commercial land uses
		Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.): Existin	•
passe	es throu	igh the project site below grade within the area to be	developed.
		dual Lot Development Worksheets attached for the 2.34-acre common lot.	ne 22.06-acre vacant lot, Lots M1
FIND	INGS C	OF FACT - COORDINATED REVIEW	
$\boxtimes Y$	□N	Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRHF	☐ If Yes, OPRHP consulted
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site	☑ If Yes, OPRHP consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Within Lake George Park ☐ If Ye	es, LGPC consulted / application submitted
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Greater than 1 acre disturbance / SWPPP required	☑ If Yes, 1.9Ac. DEC consulted
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Public water supply	oxtimes If Yes, DEC / DOH consulted
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater	⊠ If Yes, DEC consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing bed or bank of water body	\square If Yes, DEC application submitted
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each	\square If Yes, DOH consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Army Corps involvement	\Box If Yes, ACOE consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Agency-approved Local Land Use Program	☐ If Yes, Town/Village consulted
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Local Land Use Program	⊠ If Yes, Town/Village consulted
PERM	IIT CO	NDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS	
Merg	er		
_		if merger required: NA	
Dood	Cover	nant	
		lot being created? □ Y ⊠N	
	_	t is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no str	ructures at all? Justification: NA
Ease	ment		
	•	oposed or required? □Y □N	
If Y, c	onsult	with Legal for conditions. Justification: NA.	
Cons	tructio	n Location and Size (may be different for each su	bdivision lot)
		opment (other than oswts) being authorized without f	
→ If Y visual	∕: ⊟impac	Structure height limit and justification: As proposed a ts.	and reviewed. Minimize off-site
	-	Structure footprint limit and justification: As proposed	d and reviewed. Will comply with
storm	water r	nanagement controls.	

→ If N:		
→ Acceptable development sites identified for	all sub	division lots with PB allocation? $\Box Y \ oxtimes N$
→ Review of future development required?	$\boxtimes Y$	
→ If Y, justification: Ensure undue adverse imp	oacts to	wetlands and nearby land uses are
avoided.		
Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling) Proposed and reviewed? □Y ☒N If N, guest cottages potentially allowed? ☒Y → Justification for any conditions: Prior review compliance with OIG and stormwater manager	require	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline) Proposed and reviewed? □Y ⊠N		
If N, boathouses potentially allowed? □Y → If N, justification: Avoid impacts to we	⊠N etlands	
 → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? → If Y, justification: NA 	□Y	⊠NA
Docks (if project site contains shoreline)		
Proposed and reviewed?	□Y	N
If N, docks potentially allowed?	$\boxtimes Y$	\Box N
 → If N, justification: NA → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? 	⊠Y	□N
→ If Y, justification: Ensure protection of wetland adjoining land uses		
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development) Plan proposed and reviewed? ⊠Y □N		
Building Color (if authorizing development) If color condition required, justification: As proposed a and adjoining land uses	and rev	lewed. Minimize visual impacts to nearb
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? If Y, consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: N		⊠N
Vegetative cutting restrictions required? ⊠Y □N If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply): ⊠on entire site outside limits of clearing □within NA feet of limits of clearing □within NA feet of road □within NA feet of river/lake/etc □Other: NA		

Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above:	
Cutting of all vegetation prohibited	
☐ Cutting of trees of NA diameter dbh prohibited ☐ Other: No trees, shrubs, or other woody stemmed vegetation may be cut or otherwise	
disturbed on the project site without prior written Agency authorization, except for the remo or diseased vegetation, rotten or damaged trees, or any other vegetation that presents a s	
health hazard Justification: Ensure off-site visual impacts are minimized and reduce potential for sedim wetlands and waterbodies.	entation of
Plantings	
Plan proposed and reviewed? ⊠Y □N	
If N, plantings required? □Y □N	
→ If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: (9) 7' Sugar Maples, (5) 5' Hemlocks Red-Osier Dogwoods. Disturbed areas will receive topsoil and seed.	, ,
Justification: Minimize impacts to adjoining land uses and soften the appearance of the of from nearby land uses	levelopment
Wetlands	
Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Minimize impacts to nearby wetlands	
Density (may be different for each subdivision lot)	
Located in Town with ALLUP? □Y ⊠N (If Y, STOP, Town overse	es density.)
Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? Note that the standard pre-2000 with no permit	
If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: NA	
Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): 44 Extinguishing PBs? □Y ⊠N If Y, number: NA	
Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review)	
Municipal system connection approved?	⊠Y□N
Community system connection approved by RASS?	□Y⊠NA □Y⊠N
Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system?	
If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system?	□Y⊠NA
Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system?	□Y⊠NA
Consult with RASS for additional conditions.	
Stormwater Management (if authorizing devalopment)	
Stormwater Management (if authorizing development)	

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Avoid increased surface runoff resulting from new impervious areas and minimize the potential for sedimentation of nearby wetlands and waterbodies.

Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Minimize the potential for sedimentation of nearby wetlands and waterbodies resulting from construction and developed site conditions.

Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development)

Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Yes

Justification: All necessary water supply system infrastructure and sanitary sewer infrastructure shall be completed and installed prior to the occupancy of any authorized unit to ensure protection of resources.

For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings

Explain why no condition is needed: NA

Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

Access, Parking, Signage, Construction activities, Invasive Species Control

Justification: Ensure impacts to nearby and adjoining land uses are reduced and minimize the spread of invasive species to, and within the project site.

⊠Y	\square N	Public comments received	If Yes, #: 3
$\boxtimes Y$	\square N	Applicant submitted response	(notes, if any) Three letters of concern were
submit	ted in	response to being notified of the a	pplication. Concerns raised included increased traffic
and de	ensity,	and potential impacts to wetlands,	wildlife, and forest resources.



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW – P#2021-0054

If a subdivision: Common Lot $(2.43 \pm acres)$ Assigned EPS: Devan Korn Reviewed by: /s/JMB Date: 7/6/2021 **Existing Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure None **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure Pre-existing (Y/N)? None Check if portions or all below are NJ \square **Proposed Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Check if proposed as a non-building lot: □ # Bedrooms Slopes Structure Footprint Height Multiple Family Dwellings (4) 1.792 SF 33'7" - 42'1" 8 (4 units, 2-BR each) < 8% Have necessary density? ⊠Y $\square N$ # remaining potential principal buildings = 0 from \square survey or \boxtimes estimate **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Structure Footprint Height Slopes **Bus Shelter** < 250 SF < 12 Feet 3% < 8% As required for final grading Retaining walls (2) **Dumpster Enclosure** 12x16 (192 SF) < 3% < 12 Feet ACCESS *Consult RASS engineer for driveway > 12% slope | *consult RASS ecologist for driveway > ½ mile Driveway is □existing /⊠proposed Length: < 500 Feet Width: 22 Feet Sight distance evaluated? ⊠Y \square N Slopes: 3 - 9.5%Need Clearing/Grading? $\boxtimes \mathsf{Y}$ $\square N$ Comments: Road into project site from Wesvalley Road will be within a 50-foot Right-of-Way to be accepted by North Elba as a Town road. A Homeowners' Association will be responsible for maintenance of the parking areas, multiple family dwellings and common space areas. Need hwy access permit? $\Box Y$ NA (Wesvalley Road is within the Village of Lake Placid) Need easement? $\square Y$ $\boxtimes N$ Need signs? $\boxtimes Y$ $\square N$

VISUAL / AE	ESTHE	TIC						
$\boxtimes Y \Box N$	Propo	sed de	velopme	nt visible from pu	blic areas	(list) The bus shelte	er will be located	
adjacent to V	djacent to Wesvalley Road and the proposed paved access road. Multiple family dwellings will be							
•		•		ad as viewed dow			,	
			-					
$\boxtimes Y \Box N$	Existir	ng topo	graphy /	vegetation will pa	artially scr	een, if retained		
$\boxtimes Y \square N$	Plantii	ng plan	propose	ed	[If Yes, RASS forestry	y analyst consulted	
		0.				•	•	
WASTEWA1	TER TR	REATM	ENT (W	NTS) *Consult RAS	SS engineer	for engineered plans		
□ Individual	on-site	!	⊠ Muni	cipal is available	[☐ Community		
□Y ⊠NA	Slope	suitabl	e for WV	/TS (i.e., ≤8% sh	allow, ≤15	5% conventional)?		
□Y ⊠NA	Soil su	uitable	for WWT	S (i.e., depth to S	SHGW an	d bedrock)?		
				•		(If No, needs variance -	- from Town if ALLUP	
						-		
		If fast perc (1-3 min/in), water > 200 feet WWTS? (If No, amended soils required) All jurisdictional wetlands > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)						
	•	Suitable 100% replacement area identified?						
		Existing and proposed to remain (needs suitable 100% replacement area)						
	LAISIII	ig and	proposec	to remain (need	s sullable it	00 /0 replacement area)		
WATER SUF	PPLY							
□ Individual	on-site	!	⊠ Muni	cipal is available				
□Y ⊠NA	All wa	ter sup	plies, on	-site and off-site,	> 100 fee	t WWTS? (If No, need	d DOH waiver)	
						·	,	
				DIMENT CONTR		•		
$\boxtimes Y \Box N$	Does	propos	ed devel	opment maintain	existing d	lrainage patterns?		
\Box Y \boxtimes NA	< 1 ac	< 1 acre disturbance proposed (May need E&S Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risk)						
$\boxtimes Y \Box N$	> 1 ac	re dist	ا urbance	oroposed (SWPPP	required, v	vhich includes E&S Con	trol Plan)	
_								
UTILITIES						_		
Available on		□Y	⊠N	□ Overhea		☐ Underground		
Available at ı		$\boxtimes Y$	\square N	⊠ Overhea		☐ Underground		
Proposed for	r site?	$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	□ Overhea	∖d	□ Underground		



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW - P#2021-0054

(4,800 Square-Feet each; or 0.14 ± acres) If a subdivision: Lots H1 – H6 Assigned EPS: Devan Korn Reviewed by: /s/JMB Date: 7/6/2021 **Existing Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure None **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure Pre-existing (Y/N)? None **Proposed Development** Check if portions or all below are NJ \square PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Check if proposed as a non-building lot: □ # Bedrooms Slopes Structure Footprint Height Single Family Dwellings (6) 780 SF 26'4" - 31'8"< 8% 3 Have necessary density? ⊠Y $\square N$ # remaining potential principal buildings = 0 from \square survey or \square estimate **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Structure Footprint Height Slopes None **ACCESS** *Consult RASS engineer for driveway > 12% slope / *consult RASS ecologist for driveway > 1/4 mile Driveway is □existing /⊠proposed Length: 50 Feet or less Width: < 12 Feet Sight distance evaluated? □Y \boxtimes NA Slopes: 3 – 9.5% Need Clearing/Grading? $\boxtimes \mathsf{Y}$ $\square N$ Comments: Road into project site from Wesvalley Road will be within a 50-foot Right-of-Way located adjacent to Lots H1 – H6 and is to be accepted by North Elba as a Town road. The individual lot owners will be responsible for all maintenance on their individual lot. ⊠NA (Proposed paved access drive will be accepted as a Town road) Need hwy access permit? □Y Need easement? $\square Y$ $\bowtie N$ $\square Y$ Need signs? $\boxtimes N$ **VISUAL / AESTHETIC** Proposed development visible from public areas (list) The single family dwellings will be visible from the proposed paved access road and partially from Wesvalley Road. Existing topography / vegetation will screen, if retained \boxtimes Y(Partially) \square N

$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Planting plan	n proposed		☐ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted
WAST	EWAT	ER TREATM	IENT (WWTS) *Consult RASS engine	eer for engineered plans
\square Ind	ividual	on-site	⊠ Municipal	☐ Commun	ity
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes NA$	Slope suitab	le for WWTS	(i.e., ≤8% shallow, ≤	:15% conventional)?
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes NA$	Soil suitable	for WWTS (i.e	e., depth to SHGW a	and bedrock)?
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes NA$	All water boo	dies or stream	s > 100 feet WWTS	? (If No, needs variance – from Town if ALLUP)
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes NA$	If fast perc (1-3 min/in), wa	ater > 200 feet WW7	S? (If No, amended soils required)
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes NA$	All jurisdictio	nal wetlands	> 100 feet WWTS?	(If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes NA$	Suitable 100	% replaceme	nt area identified?	
$\Box Y$	⊠NA	Existing and	proposed to r	emain (needs suitable	e 100% replacement area)
WATE	R SUF	PPLY			
\square Ind	ividual	on-site	⊠ Municipal		
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes NA$	All water sup	plies, on-site	and off-site, > 100 f	eet WWTS? (If No, need DOH waiver)
STOR	MWAT	ER / EROSIG	ON & SEDIME	NT CONTROL *Con	nsult RASS engineer
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Does propos	sed developme	ent maintain existing	g drainage patterns?
$\boxtimes Y$	\square NA	< 1 acre dist	urbance propo	osed (May need E&S 0	Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risk)
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	> 1 acre dist	urbance propo	osed (SWPPP required	l, which includes E&S Control Plan)
UTILI ⁻	ΓIES				
Availa	ble on	site? □Y	$\boxtimes N$	☐ Overhead	☐ Underground
Availa	ble at r	oad? ⊠Y	$\square N$	☐ Overhead	
Propo	sed for	site? ⊠Y	$\square N$	□ Overhead	□ Underground



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW – P#2021-0054

If a subdivision: Remaining lands $(22.06 \pm acres)$ Assigned EPS: Devan Korn Reviewed by: /s/JMB Date: 7/6/2021 **Existing Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure None **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure Pre-existing (Y/N)? None **Proposed Development** Check if portions or all below are NJ \square PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Check if proposed as a non-building lot: □ Structure Height # Bedrooms Slopes Footprint None Have necessary density? ⊠Y $\square N$ # remaining potential principal buildings = 22 from □survey or ⊠estimate **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Structure Footprint Height Slopes None ACCESS *Consult RASS engineer for driveway > 12% slope | *consult RASS ecologist for driveway > ½ mile Driveway is □existing /□proposed Length: NA Width: NA Sight distance evaluated? □Y NA Slopes: Overall site 0 - <25% Need Clearing/Grading? □Y NA Comments: No development is proposed and no improved access currently exists. This lot is potentially accessible from Wesvalley Road in multiple locations. Need hwy access permit? $\Box Y$ \bowtie NA Need easement? $\square Y$ $\boxtimes NA$ \bowtie NA Need signs? $\square Y$ **VISUAL / AESTHETIC** $\Box Y$ NA Proposed development visible from public areas (list) No development is proposed $\Box Y$ NA Existing topography / vegetation will screen, if retained $\square Y$ ☐ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted

WASIEWA	IER IREAIN	IENI (WW	' I S) *Consult RASS engir	neer for engineered plans	
☐ Individual	on-site	Munici	pal is available	☐ Community	
□Y ⊠NA	Slope suitab	le for WW	ΓS (i.e., ≤8% shallow,	≤15% conventional)?	
□Y ⊠NA	Soil suitable	for WWTS	(i.e., depth to SHGW	and bedrock)?	
□Y ⊠NA	All water boo	dies or stre	ams > 100 feet WWT	S? (If No, needs variance – from To	wn if ALLUP)
□Y ⊠NA	If fast perc (1-3 min/in)	, water > 200 feet WW	TS? (If No, amended soils required	i)
□Y ⊠NA	All jurisdictio	nal wetlan	ds > 100 feet WWTS?	(If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)
□Y ⊠NA	Suitable 100	% replace	ment area identified?		
□Y ⊠NA	Existing and	proposed	to remain (needs suitab	le 100% replacement area)	
WATER SUI	PPLY				
☐ Individual	on-site	⊠ Munici	pal is available		
□Y ⊠NA	All water sup	pplies, on-s	site and off-site, > 100	feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH wa	aiver)
STORMWAT	TER / EROSIG	ON & SED	IMENT CONTROL *Co	onsult RASS engineer	
			pment maintain existin	•	
				Control Plan if water/slope/soil resou	rces at risk)
		-	• •	d, which includes E&S Control Plan	•
UTILITIES					
Available on	site? □Y	$\boxtimes N$	□ Overhead	☐ Underground	
Available at	road? ⊠Y	$\square N$		☐ Underground	
Proposed for	r site? □Y	$\boxtimes N$	□ Overhead	☐ Underground	