

# PERMIT WRITING FORM - P# 2019-0074

Assigned EPS: Devan Korn (57) Reviewed by: \_/s/JMB\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_9/22/20\_\_\_\_\_

# APPLICANT

Project Sponsor: *National Grid* Landowner: *National Grid* Authorized Representative: *Tracy Miller (National Grid)* 

# PROJECT SITE

Town/Village: Moriah, Crown Point, Ticonderoga, Putnam, Dresden County: Essex, Washington Road and/or Water Body: NYS Routes 9N and 22, various County and Town roads: Project site crosses over Lake George in Ticonderoga and over South Bay of Lake Champlain in Dresden

Tax Map #s: As follows:

Moriah: 97.13-2-4.000, 97.17-5-2.000, 97.17-2-18.000, 107.1-2-1.000 and 107.3-8-1.000; Crown Point: 117.2-5-1.000, 107.3-2-11.000, 117.20-1-16.000 and 128.2-1-29.000; Ticonderoga: 139.4-1-17.000, 150.4-1-6.000, 150.4-4-1.000 and 160.2-2-2.000; Putnam: 9.-1-23; and Dresden: 28.-2-19

Deed Ref: NA MIU LIU RU Land Use Areas: н RM IU Project Site Size: 40 ± miles (680 ± acres) [ ] Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above ] Only the H / MIU / LIU / RU / RM / IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above [X] Other: Existing utility right-of-way (ROW) [ ] Pre-existing subdivision: Lawfully Created? Yes River Area: No If Yes: Wild - Scenic - Recreational Name of River: CEAs (include all): Wetland - Fed Hwy - State Hwy - State Land - Elevation - Study River

#### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

A rebuild of two segments of the existing 115 kV transmission line, known as the Ticonderoga-Republic #2 and Ticonderoga-Republic #3, extending between the Republic Steel Substation in the Town of Moriah and the Ticonderoga Substation in the Town of Ticonderoga and between the Ticonderoga Substation and the boundary of the Adirondack Park in Dresden. The refurbishment work proposed by National Grid continues outside of the Adirondack Park boundary to the Whitehall Substation in the Town of Whitehall (Washington County).

Within the boundary of the Adirondack Park, a total of 191 existing wooden utility pole structures ranging in height from 39 to 66 feet above grade will be refurbished or replaced with steel utility pole

P.O. Box 99 • 1133 NYS Route 86 • Ray Brook, NY 12977 • Tel: (518) 891-4050 • Fax: (518) 891-3938 • www.apa.ny.gov

structures ranging in height from 43 to 74 feet above grade. The project also includes the installation of one new utility pole structure and two new independent poles adjacent to existing structures for osprey nesting purposes. All areas of potential construction activity are located within the existing transmission line right-of-way and existing access routes to each pole structure will be utilized during construction.

The project is shown on the following maps, plans, and reports (Project Plans):

- "SIR Figure 1: Regional Project Location," prepared by EDR, and dated May 15, 2019 (Location Map);
- "SIR Figure 2: APA Land Use," prepared by EDR, and dated July 17, 2020 (Land Use Map);
- "Work List," prepared by National Grid, received by the Agency August 20, 2020 (Work List);
- "Refurbishment Project Structure and Wire Design Package," prepared by Vanderweil, and dated May 22, 2020 (Design Package);
- "Ticonderoga Republic 2 & Ticonderoga Whitehall 3, 115 kV Asset Condition Refurbishment Project," prepared by EDR, and dated August 2020 (Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan); and
- "APA Project #2019-008 Revised Visibility Assessment," prepared by EDR, and dated July 24, 2020 (Viewshed Analysis).

# JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

APA Act Sections 809(2)(a) 810(1)(a)(1): Activities involving wetlands in HA 810(1)(a)(4): Structures >40' in HA 810(1)(b)(1)(b): Activities involving wetlands in MIU 810(1)(b)(5): Structures >40' in MIU 810(1)(c)(1)(b): Activities involving wetlands in LIU 810(1)(c)(5): Structures >40' in LIU 810(1)(d)(1)(b): Activities involving wetlands in RU 810(1)(d)(5): Structures >40' in RU 810(1)(e)(1)(b): Activities involving wetlands in RM 810(1)(e)(8): Structures >40' in RM

APA regulations at 9 NYCRR Part 578

# PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED

P2008-0300 and P2013-0222

FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING Lakes, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams Water Body Name: Lake George, South Bay Lake Champlain	Check if none [ ]	
Length of Existing Shoreline (feet): <b>NA</b>	MHWM determ:	No
Minimum Lot Width: <b>NA</b>	Meets standard:	NA

Structure Setback (APA Act): Lake George (MIU 5 South Bay Lake Cha Structure Setback (River Regs): NA No Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Ye No Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Ye No Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If	amplain (RU 75') es, < 30% vegetation es, < 30% trees 6" dt	oh? <b>NA</b>	Yes Yes NA
Non-Navigable Streams in proximity to develop[X] Permanent Stream[X] IntermittentDEC Environmental Resource Mapper stream class	Stream Class	k if none [ ] ified? Yes / No	
Wetlands         Yes       Jurisdictional wetland on property         If Y:       [X] If Yes, RASS biologist consulted         → Covertype:       Palustrine Emergent (PEM), Palustrine Scrub-shrub (PSS), Palustrine Forested (PFO), Lacustrine Open Water (LOW)         → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline			
Wildlife Yes Rare/threatened/endangered species Yes R/T/E or other unique species habitat Yes Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Towr No Forest management plan existing or proposed No Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist Ecological / Special Districts	[X] If Yes, RASS ec [X] If Yes, RASS ec [] If Yes, RASS fore	ologist consulted ologist consulted stry analyst consulted	

YesNatural Heritage Sites[X] If Yes, RASS ecologist consultedNoAquifer[] If Yes, RASS engineer consultedYesAgricultural District

Slopes[X] RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15%Existing slope range: 0 - > 40%Building area(s) if authorizing development: < 25%</td>

#### Soils

No Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot) [X] Check if N/A
 If Yes, soil data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst
 NRCS Mapped Soil Series or Other Comments: Mapped soils are reported in the SWPPP

#### Character of Area

Nearby (include all): **Residential – Commercial – Industrial – Agricultural – Forested** Adjoining Land Uses / State Land: **Private; Ticonderoga DEC boat launch site on Lake George** Is nearby development visible from road? **Yes.** 

 $\rightarrow$  If Y, name road and describe visible development: **Portions of the existing ROW follows** existing roadways, although there are some back-lot sections. The approximately 40 mile corridor includes various private residential, commercial and industrial use development as well as undeveloped agricultural and forest use properties. Residential, commercial and agricultural development is visible throughout the landscape.

# INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT REVIEW

Existing Development Structure 115kV Transmission Line Republic Steel Substation Ticonderoga Substation 400 Utility Pole Structures	(40 miles) า	ng (Y/N)? Yes Yes Yes Iht) Yes	Lawfully constructed (Y/N) Yes Yes Yes Yes	?
Proposed Development PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Structure NA	Check if p Footprint	portions or all b Check if pr Height	pelow is nj <b>[X]</b> roposed as a non-building lot: # Bedrooms	[] Slopes
Have necessary density? # remaining potential princip		from []su	urvey or [] estimate	
ACCESSORY STRUCTUR Structure 191 Replacement Utility Pole S	Footprint	Height to 74 feet above	Slopes existing grade < 25%	
ACCESS *Consult RASS engineer for driveway > 12% slope / *consult RASS ecologist for driveway > 14 mile Driveway is [X] existing / [] proposed Length: Width: Sight distance evaluated? NA Slopes: < 15% Need Clearing/Grading? Yes Comments: Some sections of existing access within the ROW will require temporary and permanent improvements for construction access. Stabilized construction entrances will be required. Timber matting will be used in areas that have been delineated as wetlands. Need hwy access permit? Yes Need easement? No new easements required Need signs? NA				

#### **VISUAL AESTHETIC**

Yes Proposed development visible from public areas (list): NYS Rtes. 9N and 22, County and Town roads

Yes Existing topography / vegetation will screen, if retained

Yes Planting plan proposed: Construction staging and access improvements will be removed and disturbed areas will be stabilized where necessary as per the Project Plans.

[NA] If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted

# STORMWATER / EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL \*Consult RASS engineer

Yes Does proposed development maintain existing drainage patterns?

NA < 1 acre disturbance proposed (May need E&S Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risk)

Yes > 1 acre disturbance proposed (SWPPP required, which includes E&S Control Plan): The Project will

cumulatively disturb approximately 50.1 acres related to construction/development of work

# pads, stabilized construction entrances, and access roads. Construction activities are not anticipated to result in greater than 5.0 acres of soil disturbance at any one time.

# FINDINGS OF FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW

- Yes Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRHP [X] If Yes, OPRHP letter dated 8/5/2020 Yes Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site Yes Within Lake George Park (Partially) Yes Greater than 1 acre disturbance / SWPPP required **NA** Public water supply **NA** Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater NA Disturbing bed or bank of water body
- **NA** Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each
- **NA** Army Corps involvement
- **NA** Agency-approved Local Land Use Program

- [X] If Yes, OPRHP letter dated 8/5/2020
- [X] If Yes, LGPC consulted/application submitted
- [X] If Yes, DEC application submitted
- [] If Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted
- [] If Yes, DEC application submitted
- [] If Yes, DEC application submitted
- [] If Yes, DOH application submitted
- [] If Yes, ACOE consulted
- [] If Yes, Town/Village consulted

# PERMIT CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

# Merger

Justification if merger required: NA

#### **Deed Covenant**

Non-building lot being created? No If yes and lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? Justification: NA

# Easement

Easement proposed or required? No If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification: Existing

# Construction Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Is new development (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? Yes  $\rightarrow$  If Y: Structure height limit and justification: 74 feet; As proposed and reviewed; Agency will review any changes.

> Structure footprint limit and justification: As proposed and reviewed; In addition to the base of the utility pole, temporary 25-foot x 25-foot construction pads will be required for each structure replacement. The existing pole and temporary construction pads will be removed once work is complete. Agency will review any changes.

#### $\rightarrow$ If N:

→ Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? NA  $\rightarrow$  Review of future development required? Yes

### → If Y, justification: Minimize potential increase in impacts from any further increase in height or activity involving wetlands.

Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling)	X Check if NA		Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Proposed and reviewed?	Y N		Formatted: Font: Italic
·		1	• Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic
	5		

If N, guest cottages potentially allowed? $\rightarrow$ Justification for any conditions:	Y N	
Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline)	<b>[X]</b> Check if NA	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Proposed and reviewed?	Y N	Formatted: Font: Bold
If N, boathouses potentially allowed? $\rightarrow$ If N, justification:	Y N	
<ul> <li>→ If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)?</li> <li>→ If Y, justification:</li> </ul>	Y N	
Docks (if project site contains shoreline)	[X] Check if NA	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Proposed and reviewed?	Y N	Formatted: Font: Bold
If N, docks potentially allowed? → If N, justification:	Y N	
<ul> <li>→ If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)?</li> <li>→ If Y, justification:</li> </ul>	Y N	
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development)	[X] Check if NA	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Plan proposed and reviewed?	Y N	Formatted: Font: Bold
Structure Color (if authorizing development)		Formatted: Font: Not Bold
If color condition required, justification: <i>Reduce po</i>	tential visual impacts.	
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? If Y, consult with RASS for conditions. Justification review any additional cutting.		11
Vegetative cutting restrictions required? If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply): [] within feet of limits of clearing [] within feet of road [] within feet of river/lake/etc [X] Other: As proposed and reviewed. No herk wetland boundary. OR [X] on entire site outside limits of clearing	Yes icides shall be applied within 100 feet of	any
<ul> <li>Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the are</li> <li>[ ] Cutting of all vegetation prohibited</li> <li>[ ] Cutting of trees of diameter dbh prohi</li> <li>[ X] Other: As proposed and reviewed.</li> <li>Justification: Minimize visual impacts and protection maintenance within an existing utility line right-utility laws, regulations, safety requirements, and further Agency review.</li> </ul>	bited of wetlands. Vegetative cutting and of-way that is necessary for compliance	
<b>Plantings</b> Plan proposed and reviewed?	Yes	

If N, plantings required?

NA

 $\rightarrow$  If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: Noninvasive seed mix will be used to restore disturbed upland areas. Wetland soils will be maintained to promote regeneration from the existing seed bank.

Justification: Minimize erosion and wetland impacts.

# Wetlands

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Protect wetlands within and adjacent to the ROW.

# Density (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Located in Town with ALLUP? <b>No</b> (If Y, stop. Town oversees density.) Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built:	NA NA
Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement):Extinguishing PBs?NoIf Y, number:NA	NA
Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review) Municipal system connection approved? Community system connection approved by RASS? Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system? Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system? Consult with RASS for additional conditions.	

# Stormwater Management (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Greater than one acre of disturbance is proposed not including temporary structures for construction and access.

#### Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: SWPPP will minimize impacts to resources and provide adequate erosion and sediment control.

# Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development)

Construction necessary before lot conveyance: **NA** Justification: **NA** 

# For permits that will not include conditions related to Structure Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings

Explain why no condition is needed: NA

#### Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

#### Invasive Species Control/Sanitizing Equipment

Justification: Will minimize spread of invasive species in upland and wetland areas within and adjacent to the ROW.

Reports Agency may request: Yes Justification: Allows for future review of development concerns if necessary or if project becomes significantly delayed.

YesPublic comments receivedIf yes, #: 1\*NoApplicant submitted responseNoStaff replied to comments.

\*One comment letter received concerning visual impacts.