

#### PERMIT AND ORDER WRITING FORM - P#2016-0029

Assigned EPS: \_JMB\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

#### APPLICANT

Project Sponsor(s): LS Marina, LLC Landowner(s): LS Marina, LLC Authorized Representative: Matthew Norfolk, Esq., North Woods Engineering, PLLC, North Country Ecological Services, Inc.

## PROJECT SITE

Town/Village: Harrietstown County: Franklin County Road and/or Water Body: NYS Route 3, Lake Street, Lower Saranac Lake Tax Map #(s): 457.-3-10, 457.-2-37.1 Deed Ref: Instrument #s 2014-1669, 2019-3801 Land Use Area/s: MIU LIU RU IU н RM Project Site Size: 17.94± acres [] Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above [] Only the H / MIU / LIU / RU / RM / IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above [X] Other (describe): Main Marina: 4.85± acre portion of TMP 457.-3-10 and 8.00± acres under water. Annex: 5.09± acre TMP 457.-2-37.1

 Lawfully Created?
 Y
 N
 [] Pre-existing subdivision:

 River Area: Y
 N
 If Yes: Wild - Scenic - Recreational
 Name of River:

 CEAs (include all):
 Wetland - Fed Hwy - State Hwy - State Land - Elevation - Study River

#### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Installation of new structures involving wetlands and the installation of new structures greater than 100 square feet in size within the shoreline setback area for rehabilitation and expansion of the pre-existing Crescent Bay Marina.

#### JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

Section 809(2)(a) of the Adirondack Park Agency Act and Adirondack Park Agency regulations at 9 NYCRR Part 578, Section 806(1)(a)(2) of the APA Act

#### PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED None

## FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Lakes, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams Water Body Name: Lower Saranac Lake Length of Existing Shoreline (feet): Main Marina: 665± feet Length of Existing Shoreline (feet): Annex: 1335± feet Minimum Lot Width: Structure Setback (APA Act): Check if none []

MHWM determ:	Y	Ν
MHWM determ:	Y	Ν
Meets standard:	Y	Ν
Meets standard:	Y	N

Stru Y Y N/A	N N	Setback (River Regs): Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Ye Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Ye Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If	s, < 30% trees 6" dbh? Y N	
[]	Perm	gable Streams in proximity to developmentanent Stream[]Intermittent Streamonmental Resource Mapper stream classification		
Wetlands Y N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Y: [X] If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → Covertype: Main Marina: deepwater marsh Annex: deepwater marsh, shrub swamp, emergent marsh → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline Y N → If Y, value rating: Main Marina: Value 3, Annex: Value 2				
Wild Y Y Y Y Y	dlife N N N N	Rare/threatened/endangered species R/T/E or other unique species habitat Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Towr Forest management plan existing or proposed Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist	<ul> <li>[] If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted</li> <li>[] If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted</li> <li>[] If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted</li> </ul>	d
Eco Y Y	ologica N N	I / Special Districts Natural Heritage Sites Aquifer	[ X ] If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted: [ ] If Yes, RASS engineer consulted	

**Slopes** [] *RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15%* Existing slope range: Main Marina: some areas >20%, <8% in location of wwts; Annex: <10% Building area(s) if authorizing development: 0%

#### Soils

Y

N

Agricultural District

Y N Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot) [X] Check if N/A
 [] If Yes, soil data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst
 NRCS Mapped Soil Series or Other Comments:

## Character of Area

Nearby (include all): Residential – Commercial – Industrial – Agricultural – Forested Adjoining Land Uses / State Land: Residential waterfront, Ampersand Bay Resort, Saranac Lakes Wild Forest

Is nearby development visible from road? Y N  $\rightarrow$  If Y, name road and describe visible development: Nearby development visible from Hamlet land use area NYS Route 3 and Lake Street. Also visible from Lower Saranac Lake

Additional Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.):

\*\*\* Attach Individual Lot Development Worksheet (if a subdivision, attach one for each lot)

## FINDINGS OF FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW

- Y N Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRHP [] If Yes, APA APO consulted
- Y N Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site
- Y N Within Lake George Park
- Y N Greater than 1 acre disturbance / SWPPP required
- Y N Public water supply
- Y N Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater
- Y N Disturbing bed or bank of water body
- Y N Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each
- Y N Army Corps involvement
- Y N Agency-approved Local Land Use Program

## PERMIT CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

## Merger N/A

Justification if merger required:

## Deed Covenant N/A

Non-building lot being created? Y N If yes and lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? Justification:

## Easement N/A

Easement proposed or required? Y N If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification:

## Construction Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Is new development (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? Y N  $\rightarrow$  If Y: Structure height limit and justification: 14.5± feet height limit for covered boat storage to minimize visual impacts

Structure footprint limit and justification: 577± square feet of structures shoreward of the mean high water mark and 36,368± square feet of structures lakeward of the mean high water mark. Minimize visual impacts and impacts to wetlands

## $\rightarrow$ If N:

$\rightarrow$ Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation?	Y	Ν
→ Review of future development required?	Y	Ν

Ν

Ν

 $\rightarrow$  If Y, justification:

## Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling) N/A

Proposed and reviewed?	Y	N
If N, guest cottages potentially allowed?	Y	Ν
$\rightarrow$ Justification for any conditions:		

## Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline)

- Proposed and reviewed? Y If N, boathouses potentially allowed? Y
- $\rightarrow$  If N, justification:
- $\rightarrow$  If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? Y N

 $\rightarrow$  If Y, justification: Compliance with shoreline restrictions and protection of wetlands

3

- OPRHP Letter Dated 9/2/14
- [] If Yes, LGPC consulted / application submitted ired [] If Yes, DEC application submitted
- [] If Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted
  - [] If Yes, DEC application submitted
  - [X] If Yes, DEC application submitted
  - [] If Yes, DOH application submitted
  - [X] If Yes, ACOE consulted
  - [] If Yes, Town/Village consulted

Docks (if project site contains shoreline)				
Proposed and reviewed?	Y	Ν		
If N, docks potentially allowed?	Y	N		
$\rightarrow$ If N, justification:				
$\rightarrow$ If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)?	Y	N		
$\rightarrow$ If Y, justification: Compliance with shoreline	restric	tions and protection of wetlands		
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development)				
Plan proposed and reviewed?	Υ	Ν		
Building Color (if authorizing development)	Y	Ν		
If color condition required, justification: Minimize vis				
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal				
Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences?	V	Ν		
		IN		
If Y, consult with RASS for conditions. Justification:				
Veretetive eviting restrictions required?	V	N		
Vegetative cutting restrictions required?	Y	Ν		
If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply):				
[ ] within feet of limits of clearing				
[ ] within feet of road				
[X] within 50 feet of lake				
Other:				
OR [ ] on entire site outside limits of clearing				
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the are	a noted	d above:		
[] Cutting of all vegetation prohibited				
[] Cutting of trees of diameter dbh prohit	hitad			
[X] Other: Cutting of trees or shrubs prohibited	Jileu			
Justification: Protect wetlands and water quality				
Plantings				
Plan proposed and reviewed?	Y	N		
If N, plantings required?	Y	Ν		
$\rightarrow$ If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: Species, number and location as depicted on				
Annex Planting Plan to be planted first spring or fall planting season after final grading				
Justification: Protect wetlands, water quality, and screen existing storage building				
Wetlands				

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Construction of structures and dredging as depicted and described on the Project Plans to limit impacts to wetlands

## Density (may be different for each subdivision lot) N/A

Located in Town with ALLUP? Y N (If Y, stop. Town oversees density.) Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? Y N If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built:

Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): Extinguishing PBs? Y N If Y, number:

## Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review)

Municipal system connection approved? Community system connection approved by RASS? Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system? Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system?

Y N N/A- approved system is replacement at Main Marina. Composting toilets at both sites.

Y

Υ

Y

Υ

N

N

Ν

Ν

Consult with RASS for additional conditions.

#### Stormwater Management (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: project shall be undertaken in compliance with the SWPPP, the Annex Stormwater Plan, and the Project Plans, including the Annex Planting Plan to protect water quality and wetlands

## Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: project shall be undertaken in compliance with the SWPPP, the Annex Stormwater Plan, and the Project Plans, including the Annex Planting Plan to protect water quality and wetlands

## Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development) N/A

Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Justification:

# For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings

Explain why no condition is needed:

Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed: Installation of buoys and channel markers as depicted on plans to limit impacts to wetlands and habitat. Measures to prevent spread of invasive species and manage existing Eurasian water milfoil.

Y N Public comments received If yes, #: 233 comment letters received during public comment period in 2020. 51 comment letters received between 2014 and 2017. Public Hearing held for variance on August 21, 2020, with 23 members of the public offering comment.

Y N Applicant submitted response: Addressed several issues in public hearing presentation.